

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Objection to Planning permission
Date: 24 February 2021 20:12:04

As an interested party one thing has become clear during the course of the hearings that the adverse effects of the onshore site location substantially outweighs the benefits of the application.

1. The offshore wind turbines should be recommended for consent
2. The onshore infrastructure should be rejected in favour of full consideration to build on a brownfield site to avoid serious harm to the choice of a rural site.

The scale of the cumulative impact on a small medieval village in the midst of unspoilt countryside and the surrounding area from Aldeburgh and Thorpeness to Snape is unmitigable. It is simply too destructive to our environment and coastal communities.

Once established the substation at Friston will become the magnet for all future planned projects in the area (including Nautilus, Eurolink, Galloper, and Greater Gabbard Extensions, SDC1 and SDC2, representing the largest industrialised complex of this kind in Europe. The area chosen is in no way able to support such a scheme not only ruining the fragile ecosystem of the area, the flora, fauna, but also the soil, water air and material assets including archaeological, and architectural heritage sites. The coastal villages rely on a buoyant tourist industry which raises £40 million per year towards the local economy.

An Offshore Transmission Network will cost less, be more efficient, and deliver energy wherever needed.

Yours sincerely Theresa Tollemache